



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/084,540

02/28/2002

Kazuhiko Mogi

ASA-1072

7723

24956

7590

01/26/2005

MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.  
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD  
SUITE 370  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

LY, ANH

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2162

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/084,540

Applicant(s)

MOGI ET AL.

Examiner

Anh Ly

Art Unit

2162

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-42 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 and 9-42 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/22/2004.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is response to Applicants' Amendment filed on 09/22/2004.
2. Claim 8 is cancelled.
3. Claims 1-7 and 9-42 are pending in this Application.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2162

6. Claims 1-19, 21-39, and 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6035,306 issued to Lowenthal et al. (hereinafter Lowenthal) in view of US Patent No. 6,021,408 issued to Ledain et al. (hereinafter Ledain).

With respect to claim 1, Lowenthal teaches acquiring information on a database to be managed by said database management system through said computer (getting information of database to be managing by a DBMS via the analysis tool in order to determine the space or free space or storage availability of a storage device: col. 2, lines 12-67 and col. 3, lines 1-8; also see col. 6, lines 14-45);

determining by said data position management server allocation of said database data in said computer system on the basis of acquisition information including said database information (determining the location of data or space so that the exact source of storage device or providing adequate storage space of the storage device to be determined: col. 2, lines 64-67 and col. 6, lines 14-19); and

changing said data allocation stored in said storage apparatus by said storage control means according to said instruction (database sharing the resources of the application in the server may changing the location or address of data since the space of the storage device varying during the processing: col. 5, lines 1-10 and col. 6, lines 38-45).

wherein said information on database contains at least one of information relating to a data structure including table, index, and log defined by a schema of said database management system and information relating to record positions of data of said

Art Unit: 2162

database sorted according to the data structure defined by said schema in said storage apparatus (the placement of objects including tables, indexes and logs, which corresponds to recording positions of such data group under these objects: col. 6, lines 27-46).

Lowenthal teaches a method or a system directed to improving the performance of large database (col. 2, lines 46-67), an analysis tool is provided for user to select a time window during which the database performance is accessed, it is mapping or converting the data location from logical to physical structure of data stored in the storage device (col. 2, lines 64-67) and to get the adequate storage space of the storage device (col. 6, lines 14-19 and col. 13, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 30-38). Lowenthal also teaches data placements (col. 3, lines 1-8). Lowenthal does not explicitly teach data position management server and instructing said storage control means of data migration to realize said data allocation determined by said data position management server.

However, Ledain teaches log device itself may be physically structured as a mirrored or RAID based disk drive subsystem operating from the same or a different disk drive controller as the main filesystem storage devices and write migration of data to the logged filesystem itself from the log device disk (col. 7, lines 1-18 and col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lowenthal with the teachings of Ledain, wherein the table, index and log provided therein (see Lowenthal's

Art Unit: 2162

fig. 2 and fig. 4), would incorporate the use of disk array and writing migration data from log device disk, in the same conventional manner as disclosed by Ledain (col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45). The motivation being to improve in data allocation with a system having a plurality of storage devices for large databases.

With respect to claims 2-6, Lowenthal discloses a data relocation method as discussed in claim 1. Lowenthal also teaches the server computer as a host computer including operating system software and it is a parallel architecture system to access on a relational data base management and including a database storage subsystem (col. see fig. 4, col. 6, lines 1-67, and col. 3, lines 65-67).

Lowenthal teaches a method or a system directed to improving the performance of large database (col. 2, lines 46-67), an analysis tool is provided for user to select a time window during which the database performance is accessed, it is mapping or converting the data location from logical to physical structure of data stored in the storage device (col. 2, lines 64-67) and to get the adequate storage space of the storage device (col. 6, lines 14-19 and col. 13, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 30-38). Lowenthal also teaches data placements (col. 3, lines 1-8). Lowenthal does not explicitly teach data position management server and instructing said storage control means of data migration to realize said data allocation determined by said data position management server.

However, Ledain teaches log device itself may be physically structured as a mirrored or RAID based disk drive subsystem operating from the same or a different disk drive controller as the main filesystem storage devices and write migration of data

Art Unit: 2162

to the logged filesystem itself from the log device disk (col. 7, lines 1-18 and col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lowenthal with the teachings of Ledain, wherein the table, index and log provided therein (see Lowenthal's fig. 2 and fig. 4), would incorporate the use of disk array and writing migration data from log device disk, in the same conventional manner as disclosed by Ledain (col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45). The motivation being to improve in data allocation with a system having a plurality of storage devices for a large databases.

With respect to claim 7, Lowenthal teaches wherein, prior to instruction of the determined data allocation from said data position management server to said storage control means, said determined data allocation is presented to an administrator to check the administrator about whether or not to conduct a change in the data allocation (DBA is a database administrator who is checking or managing database on the system, disks: col. 3, lines 5-8, col. 6, lines 38-45).

With respect to claim 9, Lowenthal teaches wherein, in said computer system having a plurality of said storage apparatuses therein, said data position management servers, at the time of determining said data allocation, determines said data allocation to be allocated to said another storage apparatus different from said storage apparatus having said data stored already therein (col. 4, lines 7-40 and see fig. 1).

With respect to claim 10, Lowenthal teaches wherein said storage apparatus has at least one physical storage means for storing data therein, and said data position

Art Unit: 2162

management server, at the time of determining said data allocation, determines a data allocation which specify a storage position in said physical storage means of said storage apparatus (physical structure of storage: col. 2, lines 45-67 and data placements: col. 3, lines 1-8).

With respect to claims 11-12, Lowenthal teaches a data relocation method as discussed in claim 1. Lowenthal also teaches mapping information from logical to physical of database being monitoring by administrator: col. 2, lines 58-67 and see abstract).

Lowenthal teaches a method or a system directed to improving the performance of large database (col. 2, lines 46-67), an analysis tool is provided for user to select a time window during which the database performance is accessed, it is mapping or converting the data location from logical to physical structure of data stored in the storage device (col. 2, lines 64-67) and to get the adequate storage space of the storage device (col. 6, lines 14-19 and col. 13, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 30-38). Lowenthal also teaches data placements (col. 3, lines 1-8). Lowenthal does not explicitly teach data position management server and instructing said storage control means of data migration to realize said data allocation determined by said data position management server.

However, Ledain teaches log device itself may be physically structured as a mirrored or RAID based disk drive subsystem operating from the same or a different disk drive controller as the main filesystem storage devices and write migration of data



Art Unit: 2162

to the logged filesystem itself from the log device disk (col. 7, lines 1-18 and col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lowenthal with the teachings of Ledain, wherein the table, index and log provided therein (see Lowenthal's fig. 2 and fig. 4), would incorporate the use of disk array and writing migration data from log device disk, in the same conventional manner as disclosed by Ledain (col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45). The motivation being to improve in data allocation with a system having a plurality of storage devices for a large databases.

With respect to claim 13, Lowenthal teaches detects a set of said database data to be simultaneously accessed with a high possibility on the basis of said acquisition information, and arranges said set in said physical storage means different therefrom (analysis tool can be detected performance problems and check database to detect potential hotspots before they cause performance problem: col. 3, lines 1-8).

With respect to claims 14-19, Lowenthal teaches a data relocation method as discussed in claim 1. Lowenthal also teaches table data, index data, physical structure, log data and updating database (col. 4, lines 7-40), parallelized database (col. 4, lines 25-35), and schema data storing each file (col. 8, lines 25-38) and a high possibility of accessing (col. 13, lines 35-67).

Lowenthal teaches a method or a system directed to improving the performance of large database (col. 2, lines 46-67), an analysis tool is provided for user to select a time window during which the database performance is accessed, it is mapping or

Art Unit: 2162

converting the data location from logical to physical structure of data stored in the storage device (col. 2, lines 64-67) and to get the adequate storage space of the storage device (col. 6, lines 14-19 and col. 13, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 30-38). Lowenthal also teaches data placements (col. 3, lines 1-8). Lowenthal does not explicitly teach data position management server and instructing said storage control means of data migration to realize said data allocation determined by said data position management server.

However, Ledain teaches log device itself may be physically structured as a mirrored or RAID based disk drive subsystem operating from the same or a different disk drive controller as the main filesystem storage devices and write migration of data to the logged filesystem itself from the log device disk (col. 7, lines 1-18 and col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lowenthal with the teachings of Ledain, wherein the table, index and log provided therein (see Lowenthal's fig. 2 and fig. 4), would incorporate the use of disk array and writing migration data from log device disk, in the same conventional manner as disclosed by Ledain (col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45). The motivation being to improve in data allocation with a system having a plurality of storage devices for a large databases.

With respect to claim 20, Lowenthal in view of Ito discloses a data relocation method as discussed in claim 1.

Lowenthal teaches a method or a system directed to improving the performance of large database (col. 2, lines 46-67), an analysis tool is provided for user to select a time window during which the database performance is accessed, it is mapping or converting the data location from logical to physical structure of data stored in the storage device (col. 2, lines 64-67) and to get the adequate storage space of the storage device (col. 6, lines 14-19 and col. 13, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 30-38). Lowenthal also teaches data placements (col. 3, lines 1-8). Lowenthal does not explicitly teach wherein said database information includes information relating to a cache memory amount and cache operation when said database management system caches said database data in a memory on said computer, said data position management server acquires storage apparatus cache memory information relating to a cache memory from said storage apparatus and allocates the data in said storage apparatus on the basis of information about a cache memory effect obtained from said database information and said storage apparatus cache memory information.

However, Ledain teaches RAM cache memory (col. 2, lines 65-67 and col. 3, lines 1-40).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lowenthal with the teachings of Ledain, wherein the table, index and log provided therein (see Lowenthal's fig. 2 and fig. 4), would incorporate the use of disk array and writing migration data from log device disk, in the same conventional manner as disclosed by Ledain (col. 10, lines

Art Unit: 2162

8-15 and lines 35-45). The motivation being to improve in data allocation with a system having a plurality of storage devices for a large databases.

Claim 21 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

Claim 22 is essentially the same as claim 2 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 2 hereinabove.

With respect to claims 23-26, Lowenthal discloses a data relocation method as discussed in claim 1. Lowenthal also teaches the server computer as a host computer including operating system software and it is a parallel architecture system to access on a relational data base management and including a database storage subsystem (col. see fig. 4, col. 6, lines 1-67, and col. 3, lines 65-67) and make up a set of stripes of simplified database (col. 4, lines 54-67 and col. 5, lines 27-45).

Lowenthal teaches a method or a system directed to improving the performance of large database (col. 2, lines 46-67), an analysis tool is provided for user to select a time window during which the database performance is accessed, it is mapping or converting the data location from logical to physical structure of data stored in the storage device (col. 2, lines 64-67) and to get the adequate storage space of the storage device (col. 6, lines 14-19 and col. 13, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 30-38). Lowenthal also teaches data placements (col. 3, lines 1-8). Lowenthal does not explicitly teach data position management server and instructing said storage control

Art Unit: 2162

means of data migration to realize said data allocation determined by said data position management server.

However, Ledain teaches log device itself may be physically structured as a mirrored or RAID based disk drive subsystem operating from the same or a different disk drive controller as the main filesystem storage devices and write migration of data to the logged filesystem itself from the log device disk (col. 7, lines 1-18 and col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lowenthal with the teachings of Ledain, wherein the table, index and log provided therein (see Lowenthal's fig. 2 and fig. 4), would incorporate the use of disk array and writing migration data from log device disk, in the same conventional manner as disclosed by Ledain (col. 10, lines 8-15 and lines 35-45). The motivation being to improve in data allocation with a system having a plurality of storage devices for a large databases.

Claim 27 is essentially the same as claim 7 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 7 hereinabove.

Claim 28 is essentially the same as claim 8 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 8 hereinabove.

Claim 29 is essentially the same as claim 9 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 9 hereinabove.

Claim 30 is essentially the same as claim 10 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 10 hereinabove.

Claim 31 is essentially the same as claim 11 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 11 hereinabove.

Claim 32 is essentially the same as claim 12 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 12 hereinabove.

Claim 33 is essentially the same as claim 13 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 13 hereinabove.

Claim 34 is essentially the same as claim 14 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 14 hereinabove.

Claim 35 is essentially the same as claim 15 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 15 hereinabove.

Claim 36 is essentially the same as claim 16 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 16 hereinabove.

Claim 37 is essentially the same as claim 17 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 17 hereinabove.

Claim 38 is essentially the same as claim 18 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 18 hereinabove.

Claim 39 is essentially the same as claim 19 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 19 hereinabove.

Claim 40 is essentially the same as claim 20 except that it is directed to a computer system rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 20 hereinabove.

Claim 41 is essentially the same as claim 1 except that it is directed to a data position management server rather than a method, and is rejected for the same reason as applied to the claim 1 hereinabove.

With respect to claim 42, Lowenthal teaches wherein said storage control means is implemented by a program on said at least one computer (relational database management system is a program or software storing on the computer for accessing the database storage subsystem: col. 4, lines 7-40).

Art Unit: 2162

**Contact Information**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anh Ly whose telephone number is (571) 272-4039 or via E-Mail: ANH.LY@USPTO.GOV or fax to (571) 273-4039. The examiner can normally be reached on TUESDAY – THURSDAY from 8:30 AM – 3:30 PM.


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107 or Primary Examiner Jean Corrielus (571) 272-4032.


Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: Central Fax Center (703) 872-9306

  
JEAN M. CORRIELUS  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

ANH LY   
JAN. 12th, 2005